



KING COUNTY

1200 King County Courthouse
516 Third Avenue
Seattle, WA 98104

Signature Report

Ordinance 19993

Proposed No. 2025-0120.2

Sponsors Zahilay

1 AN ORDINANCE concurring with the recommendation of
2 the hearing examiner to deny the application for public
3 benefit rating system assessed valuation for open space
4 submitted by Madhukirana Reddy Timiri for property
5 located at 4277 137th Ave NE, Bellevue, WA, designated
6 department of natural resources and parks, water and land
7 resources division file no. E24CT021.

8 BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

9 SECTION 1. This ordinance does hereby adopt and incorporate herein as its
10 findings and conclusions the findings and conclusions contained in Attachment A to this
11 ordinance, the report and recommendation of the hearing examiner dated October 3,
12 2025, to deny the application for public benefit rating system assessed valuation for open
13 space submitted by Madhukirana Reddy Timiri for property located at 4277 137th Ave
14 NE, Bellevue, WA, designated department of natural resources and parks, water and land

Ordinance 19993

- 15 resources division file no. E24CT021. The council does hereby adopt as its action the
16 recommendation or recommendations contained in the examiner's report.

Ordinance 19993 was introduced on 5/6/2025 and passed by the Metropolitan King County Council on 11/4/2025, by the following vote:

Yes: 9 - Balducci, Barón, Dembowski, Dunn, Mosqueda, Perry, Quinn, von Reichbauer and Zahilay

KING COUNTY COUNCIL
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Signed by:



1AEA3C5077F8485...

Girmay Zahilay, Chair

ATTEST:

DocuSigned by:



8DE1BB375AD3422...

Melani Hay, Clerk of the Council

Attachments: A. Hearing Examiner Report dated October 3, 2025

Ordinance 19993

October 3, 2025

**OFFICE OF THE HEARING EXAMINER
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON**

Telephone (206) 477-0860

hearingexaminer@kingcounty.gov

www.kingcounty.gov/independent/hearing-examiner

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION TO THE
METROPOLITAN KING COUNTY COUNCIL**

SUBJECT: Department of Natural Resources and Parks file no. **E24CT021**
Proposed ordinance no. **2025-0120**
Parcel no. **8669400210**

MADHUKIRANA REDDY TIMIRI

Open Space Taxation Application (Public Benefit Rating System)

Location: 4277 137th Ave NE, Bellevue

Applicant: **Madhukirana Reddy Timiri**
4277 137th Ave NE
Bellevue, WA 98005
Telephone: (650) 996-9597
Email: madhukir@gmail.com

King County: Department of Natural Resources and Parks
represented by **Megan Kim**
201 S. Jackson Street
Suite 5601
Seattle, WA 98104
Telephone: (206) 477-4788

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS:

Department's Recommendation:	Approve 0.67 acres for 30% of assessed value
Examiner's Recommendation:	Conditionally approve 1.10 acres for 20% of assessed value Deny the application

PRELIMINARY REPORT:

On September 10, 2025, the Department of Natural Resources and Parks (DNRP) submitted its report on file no. E24CT021 to the Examiner.

PUBLIC HEARING:

After reviewing the preliminary report and examining available information on file with the application, the Examiner conducted a remote public hearing on the application on September 24, 2025.

Participants at the public hearing and the exhibits offered and entered are listed in the attached minutes. A verbatim recording of the hearing is available in the Hearing Examiner’s Office.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS:

1. General Information:
Owner: Madhukirana Reddy Timiri
4277 137th Ave NE
Bellevue, WA 98005

Location: 4277 137th Ave NE, Bellevue
STR: SW-15-25-05
Zoning: R1
Parcel no: 8669400210
Total acreage: 1.38 acres
2. The Applicant timely filed an application to King County for the Public Benefit Rating System (PBRs) program current use valuation of the property to begin in 2026. As required by law, notification of the application occurred.
3. A summary of relevant PBRs categories follows below. (Plain text represents a category an applicant requested an award for and that DNRP agrees is warranted. Any ~~striketrough~~ represents a category an applicant requested an award for but that DNRP disagrees is warranted. Any *italics* represents a category an applicant did not request an award for, but that DNRP nonetheless concludes is warranted. And any *asterisk* represents a category where DNRP finds an award is warranted, but only if certain contingencies or conditions are met.)

PBRs categories:	<u>Open Space Resources</u>	
	Active trail linkage	0
	Aquifer protection area	0
	Ecological enhancement land	0
	Equestrian-pedestrian-bicycle trail linkage	0
	Public recreation area	5
	<u>Scenic resource, viewpoint or view corridor</u>	0

Significant plant or ecological site	0
Significant wildlife or salmonid habitat	5
Surface water quality buffer	0
Urban open space	5
Watershed protection area	0
<u>Bonus Categories</u>	
Easement and access	0
Unlimited public access	5
*Resource restoration	*
Total	20

4. The DNRP-recommended score of 20 points results in a current use valuation of 30% of assessed value for the enrolled portion of the property.
5. **Public recreation area:** This parcel contains an easement for a portion of a trail that runs north/south through a wooded area along the western property line. It is open to the public and can be used by pedestrians and equestrians for recreation. To qualify as an open space resource, however, KCC 20.36.100.C.11 requires that “a property shall be identified by the responsible agency within whose jurisdiction the property is located as meeting the definition of public recreation area.” The parcel is entirely located within the City of Bellevue. There is no evidence in DNRP’s report or elsewhere in the record that the City of Bellevue has identified the property as meeting the definition of “public recreation area.” An applicant bears the burden of proof to establish that the property contains qualifying open space resources.¹ Based on the evidentiary record, we cannot recommend credit for this category.
6. **Significant wildlife or salmonid habitat:** To qualify for this category of open space resource, KCC 20.36.100.C.16.a requires that the land fulfill at least one of the following four criteria:
 - A. Be used by a species of local significance (or otherwise one listed as endangered, threatened, or sensitive). The only qualified species specifically mentioned by DNRP is the Pileated Woodpecker. The Pileated Woodpecker is a species of local significance listed by the King County Comprehensive Plan, so the land would qualify if Pileated Woodpeckers use it.
 - B. Have a qualified species be potentially found with sufficient frequency for critical ecological processes to occur. Again, this question turns on whether and how frequently Pileated Woodpeckers are found on the property.
 - C. Meet the criteria to be a “priority habitat” as defined by the state, county, or local jurisdiction. There is no evidence in the record that the property in question has been defined as a priority habitat.

¹ See Hearing Examiner’s Rules of Procedure and Mediation (2017), Rule XV.E.1.


- D. Meet the criteria for a “wildlife habitat conservation area” as defined by the county or the local jurisdiction. There is no evidence in the record that the property in question has been defined as a wildlife habitat conservation area.
7. DNRP states that the property owner has seen Pileated Woodpeckers using the property. Since the property owners did not attend the hearing, it was not possible to inquire where or how frequently these observations were made. When asked at the hearing, DNRP staff confirmed that they had not seen any Pileated Woodpeckers on the property themselves. There are no photographs or other evidence of Pileated Woodpeckers in the record, other than the hearsay statements of the property owner, who has a pecuniary interest in there being Pileated Woodpeckers on their property. Hearsay statements are not barred in these hearings, but they may be given less weight than sworn testimony.
 8. Furthermore, to be eligible for this open resource category, KCC 20.36.100.C.16.b requires that DNRP “shall verify that qualified species are present on the property or that the land fulfills the functions described in subsection C.16.a.” KCC 20.36.190.B lists the ways that “the presence or occurrence of an eligible open space resource may be verified.” One way to verify the presence of Pileated Woodpeckers is to reference recognized sources, such as official databases, registers, inventories, studies, or maps developed by a recognized authority. The DNRP report does not make any references to any such recognized sources in the context of Pileated Woodpeckers. Alternatively, the code allows the presence of Pileated Woodpeckers to be verified by “using the best available source, such as a recognized expert in the particular resource being reviewed.” The DNRP report does not make any references to any recognized experts on Pileated Woodpeckers. Since the applicants have the burden of proof and there does not appear to be a verified account of Pileated Woodpeckers using the property in the evidentiary record, we cannot recommend credit for this category.
 9. **Urban open space:** To qualify for this open space category, KCC 20.36.100.C.19.a requires the land to be within the boundaries of a city, have a plant community in which native plants are dominant, and be eligible for more-intensive development or use. Portions of the property meet these criteria: the parcel is within the City of Bellevue, some portions of the parcel have predominantly native plants, and some of those portions are eligible for more-intensive use than their current undeveloped state.
 10. The same code section also imposes a size limitation: if the enrolling area is not at least one acre in size, then the enrolling area must be at least one-half acre in size and also meet one of six criteria. DNRP considers there to be 0.67 acres on the parcel that could currently qualify for enrollment, which is less than the one acre minimum. Therefore, to qualify as urban open space, the enrolling area must meet one of six criteria listed in code. DNRP stated that of the six criteria, this area qualified as urban open space specifically because it “enhances recreation opportunities for the general public.”²

² At the hearing, DNRP corrected an outdated code citation that had been used in the report. The correct citation for “enhancing recreation opportunities” is currently KCC 20.36.100.C.19.a.5.

11. As mentioned above, there is a trail that runs along the western border of the parcel that is open to the general public. This trail exists because of an easement from 1959 that predates the plat for this parcel. DNRP stated that this easement does not meet current design standards for an acceptable trail easement and that there is no agreement with the property owner to maintain the trail. Additionally, there do not appear to be any plans to improve the trail or the recreation opportunities it provides. Therefore, it is not clear from the record whether this trail in fact “enhances” recreation opportunities, rather than simply “conserving” or “preserving” those opportunities (for comparison, other criteria use the words “conserve” and “preserve” instead of “enhance”). Where “enhancement” is used elsewhere in the same code section, it appears to indicate at least some efforts to improve the thing being enhanced.³ Since the applicants have the burden to prove the land “enhances recreation opportunities,” and there doesn’t seem to be any plans to “enhance” in the record, we cannot recommend credit for this category.
12. Even assuming the trail “enhanced” recreation opportunities, it does not necessarily follow that all 0.67 acres of potentially eligible property would also do so. The trail runs along the western border of the property, in a narrow strip of wooded land. Between that narrow strip and the rest of the 0.67 acres there is a large 0.43-acre area that DNRP currently excludes from enrollment. This excluded intermediary area is roughly 100 feet wide, 200 feet long, and has an easement for Puget Sound Energy to run large powerlines across the property. The majority of the 0.67 acres lies on the other side of those powerlines, disconnected from the trail area. There is nothing in the record that indicates there are any recreation opportunities in this larger disconnected area, let alone any resources that would enhance them. The only portion of the property that could conceivably enhance recreation opportunities is the area around the trail, and that narrow strip is not at least one-half acre in size. Therefore, this qualifying area would not meet the requirements of KCC 20.36.100.C.19.a. This is a second reason we cannot recommend credit for this category.
13. Finally, even assuming that an enrollment area – no matter how large – would all qualify as enhancing recreation opportunities if there was a portion of a trail anywhere within it, the powerlines in today’s case bisect the enrollment area into two non-contiguous areas. The definition of an urban open space describes “the enrolling area” (singular), not “the enrolling areas” (plural). However, as DNRP explained at the hearing, the code does not explicitly say that an urban open space must be contiguous. Two other types of open space resources do explicitly require “contiguous” acreage, so DNRP interprets the absence of the word “contiguous” here to mean that the enrollment area does not need to be contiguous. This is not the only way to interpret this subsection of code, but it is certainly a reasonable way to do so. That said, there are also some reasons to question this interpretation when it is read in the context of the rest of the section.

³ For example, subsection 4 discusses “enhancement projects” that involve “removing significant [detriments]” to “reestablish natural functions,” and that require an “enhancement plan” and annual reporting on “the enhancement’s efforts.” Similarly, subsection 13 refers to “restoration, reforestation, or enhancement” – all words that imply an action taken to improve the land.

14. To understand why, it's instructive to first look at how code treats contiguous parcels. KCC 20.36.190.A allows “abutting parcels of land with the same open space resources” to apply together as a single parcel, but it does not go into details about the open space areas on each parcel or minimum acreage requirements. In contrast, KCC 20.36.100.D.2 awards additional points for “contiguous parcels under separate ownership” and is very specific about the placement of open space areas. This subsection allows two property owners to combine their open space lands to meet minimum acreage requirements, but only if the open space acreage on one property abuts (i.e., is contiguous with) the open space acreage on the other property. Alternatively, each open space area can abut the same publicly owned open space. Either way, to earn additional points the code requires a contiguous open space area, without any significant human-made barriers. The code is silent on how this could possibly work if a property owner had multiple non-contiguous open space areas on their property. Instead, the code only talks about “the open space portion” (singular) of each parcel abutting each other.
15. KCC 20.36.100.C.19.b goes even further and permits non-contiguous parcels to apply together to meet minimum acreage requirements, but only for the urban open space category. At first blush, this would seem to argue decisively in favor of DNRP's interpretation that the enrollment area for urban open space does not need to be contiguous. However, this subsection only applies if each property is closer than 75 feet to another property in the application. This proximity requirement raises the question: why would it be important for the properties to be so close together if the enrollment areas themselves do not need to be? For example, say that parcel A and parcel B are 60 feet apart, but their open space areas are on the furthest edges away from each other. Meanwhile, parcel C and parcel D are 80 feet apart, but their open space areas are on the edges closest to each other. To illustrate:



16. One way to interpret subsection C.19.b is that the open spaces do not need to be near each other, since the code does not explicitly require that to be true. This would mean Parcels A and B could qualify together as urban open space while Parcels C and D would not, even though their open spaces are closer together. This interpretation doesn't make much sense considering that the code explicitly requires proximity. Alternatively, subsection C.19.b could be interpreted as an extension and expansion of how contiguous parcels operate in subsection D.2. It could be implicitly understood that the open space areas on each parcel should be contiguous with each other (as per D.2), but that this strict requirement might be difficult to achieve in denser urban areas, which have more roads that might separate open space areas. In that light, subsection C.19.b would grant a small exception to D.2 for urban areas, allowing open spaces that are not quite contiguous to still apply together, but only if they are still fairly close to each other.

17. If proximity is an important requirement in subsection C.19.b when dealing with multiple owners, it might also be an important consideration in subsection C.19.a when dealing with a single owner. If read in the light of subsections D.2 and C.19.b, the requirement in C.19.a that “the enrolling area shall be at least one acre” could be understood to mean exactly what it says: a singular “area” that is at least one acre.
18. This interpretation would also avoid the absurd result of multiple property owners being able to cobble together an acre’s worth of open space from a scattering of tiny, disconnected areas that would have never qualified on their own. If the intent was to let even tiny patches of open space qualify in urban areas, then there wouldn’t be an acreage requirement in subsection C.19.a in the first place.
19. Returning to the applicant’s property, there are 0.67 acres that could qualify for urban open space (again assuming that an unimproved trail in one area can qualify every other area of open space on the parcel, no matter how distant from the trail, as also “enhancing recreational opportunities”). The 0.67 acres are split, with a narrow strip with the trail on the western property border, and the remainder on the other side of the easement for PSE’s powerlines. Neither of these two areas are a half-acre in size, so to qualify, we must interpret “enrolling area” to mean two non-contiguous areas, separated by at least 100 feet. If PSE owned the 100-foot strip of land that separated these two open space areas, then the two areas would not be able to qualify, even under the exception for non-contiguous parcels in subsection C.19.b. That exception requires separate properties to be closer than 75 feet to qualify, and we don’t see a compelling reason why a change in ownership of the intervening land should change whether there is sufficient open space to qualify. Therefore, this is a third reason why we cannot recommend credit for this category.
20. **Unlimited public access:** The applicants grant the general public year-round access to the trail and other open spaces on their property without special arrangements. This category is for additional points, however, and it can only be awarded for a property that has already qualified for an open space category under KCC 20.36.100.C. Since the property does not currently qualify for any of those open space categories discussed above, we cannot recommend credit for this category either.
21. **Resource restoration:** The applicants have drafted a restoration plan to improve the health and diversity of the plant community underneath the powerlines, but the plan has not yet been approved. Furthermore, this category is for additional points and can only be awarded for a property that has already qualified for an open space category under KCC 20.36.100.C. Since the property does not currently qualify for any of those open space categories discussed above, we cannot recommend credit for this category either. If that should change, however, and if DNRP approves the restoration plan, then an additional five points could be awarded administratively under the resource restoration category and up to an additional 0.43 acres could be added to the enrollment area. To be eligible for these additional points the applicants would still need to implement the plan and submit annual monitoring reports to DNRP for at least five years following

enrollment. The reports would need to describe the progress and success of the restoration project and include photographs to document the success.

22. As to the land area recommended for PBRS enrollment, the applicant requested 1.33 acres and DNRP recommends 0.67 acres, with a recommendation of 1.10 acres contingent on the resource restoration category described above. (Enrollment acreage is the entire parcel less the excluded area, as calculated by DNRP. In the event the County Assessor's official parcel size is revised, the PBRS acreage would be administratively adjusted to reflect that change.) Since we found this property does not qualify for any open space resource categories, we do not recommend any acreage for PBRS enrollment at this time.
23. Despite coming to different conclusions, we find the facts set forth in DNRP's preliminary report and testimony at the September 24, 2025, public hearing correct and we incorporate them by reference. We will provide copies of DNRP's report to the Metropolitan King County Council along with our report and recommendation.

RECOMMENDATION:

DENY the application.

DATED October 3, 2025.



Peter Heineccius
Hearing Examiner pro tem

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

A party may appeal an Examiner report and recommendation by following the steps described in KCC 20.22.230. By **4:30 p.m.** on **October 27, 2025**, an electronic appeal statement must be sent to Clerk.Council@kingcounty.gov, to hearingexaminer@kingcounty.gov, and to the party email addresses on the front page of this report and recommendation. Please consult KCC 20.22.230 for the exact filing requirements.

If a party fails to timely file an appeal, the Council does not have jurisdiction to consider that appeal. Conversely, if the appeal requirements of KCC 20.22.230 are met, the Examiner will notify parties and interested persons and will provide information about next steps in the appeal process.

**MINUTES OF THE SEPTEMBER 24, 2025, HEARING ON THE APPLICATION
OF MADHUKIRANA REDDY TIMIRI, FILE NO. E24CT021**

Peter Heineccius was the Hearing Examiner in this matter. Bill Bernstein, Megan Kim, and Pamela Johnston participated in the hearing.

The following exhibits were offered and entered into the hearing record:

Exhibit no. 1	DNRP report to the Hearing Examiner
Exhibit no. 2	<i>Reserved for future submission of the affidavit of hearing publication</i>
Exhibit no. 3	Legal notice and introductory ordinance to the King County Council
Exhibit no. 4	Arcview/orthophotograph and aerial map
Exhibit no. 5	Application signed and notarized
Exhibit no. 6	Plat Map

Certificate Of Completion

Envelope Id: 0BEBDA1B-9B4A-4C2D-9BD7-30A15F359107

Status: Completed

Subject: Complete with Docusign: Ordinance 19993.doc, Ordinance 19993 Attachment A.pdf

Source Envelope:

Document Pages: 2

Signatures: 2

Envelope Originator:

Supplemental Document Pages: 9

Initials: 0

Cherie Camp

Certificate Pages: 5

AutoNav: Enabled

401 5TH AVE

Envelopeld Stamping: Enabled

SEATTLE, WA 98104

Time Zone: (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)

Cherie.Camp@kingcounty.gov

IP Address: 198.49.222.20

Record Tracking

Status: Original

Holder: Cherie Camp

Location: DocuSign

11/5/2025 11:06:48 AM

Cherie.Camp@kingcounty.gov

Security Appliance Status: Connected

Pool: FedRamp

Storage Appliance Status: Connected

Pool: King County-Council

Location: Docusign

Signer Events

Girmay Zahilay

girmay.zahilay@kingcounty.gov

Council Chair

Security Level: Email, Account Authentication (None)

Signature

Signed by:

Girmay Zahilay
1AEA3C5077F8485...

Signature Adoption: Pre-selected Style

Using IP Address: 71.227.166.164

Timestamp

Sent: 11/5/2025 11:07:22 AM

Viewed: 11/5/2025 12:11:27 PM

Signed: 11/5/2025 12:11:36 PM

Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure:

Accepted: 11/5/2025 12:11:27 PM

ID: f1e31e67-c8f0-41ca-93e8-57d696d2303e

Melani Hay

melani.hay@kingcounty.gov

Clerk of the Council

King County Council

Security Level: Email, Account Authentication (None)

DocuSigned by:

Melani Hay
8DE1BB375AD3422...

Signature Adoption: Pre-selected Style

Using IP Address: 198.49.222.20

Sent: 11/5/2025 12:11:37 PM

Viewed: 11/5/2025 12:20:24 PM

Signed: 11/5/2025 12:20:36 PM

Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure:

Accepted: 9/30/2022 11:27:12 AM

ID: 639a6b47-a4ff-458a-8ae8-c9251b7d1a1f

In Person Signer Events

Signature

Timestamp

Editor Delivery Events

Status

Timestamp

Agent Delivery Events

Status

Timestamp

Intermediary Delivery Events

Status

Timestamp

Certified Delivery Events

Status

Timestamp

Carbon Copy Events

Status

Timestamp

Witness Events

Signature

Timestamp

Notary Events

Signature

Timestamp

Envelope Summary Events	Status	Timestamps
Envelope Sent	Hashed/Encrypted	11/5/2025 11:07:23 AM
Certified Delivered	Security Checked	11/5/2025 12:20:24 PM
Signing Complete	Security Checked	11/5/2025 12:20:36 PM
Completed	Security Checked	11/5/2025 12:20:36 PM
Payment Events	Status	Timestamps
Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure		

ELECTRONIC RECORD AND SIGNATURE DISCLOSURE

From time to time, King County-Department of 02 (we, us or Company) may be required by law to provide to you certain written notices or disclosures. Described below are the terms and conditions for providing to you such notices and disclosures electronically through the DocuSign system. Please read the information below carefully and thoroughly, and if you can access this information electronically to your satisfaction and agree to this Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure (ERSD), please confirm your agreement by selecting the check-box next to 'I agree to use electronic records and signatures' before clicking 'CONTINUE' within the DocuSign system.

Getting paper copies

At any time, you may request from us a paper copy of any record provided or made available electronically to you by us. You will have the ability to download and print documents we send to you through the DocuSign system during and immediately after the signing session and, if you elect to create a DocuSign account, you may access the documents for a limited period of time (usually 30 days) after such documents are first sent to you. After such time, if you wish for us to send you paper copies of any such documents from our office to you, you will be charged a \$0.00 per-page fee. You may request delivery of such paper copies from us by following the procedure described below.

Withdrawing your consent

If you decide to receive notices and disclosures from us electronically, you may at any time change your mind and tell us that thereafter you want to receive required notices and disclosures only in paper format. How you must inform us of your decision to receive future notices and disclosure in paper format and withdraw your consent to receive notices and disclosures electronically is described below.

Consequences of changing your mind

If you elect to receive required notices and disclosures only in paper format, it will slow the speed at which we can complete certain steps in transactions with you and delivering services to you because we will need first to send the required notices or disclosures to you in paper format, and then wait until we receive back from you your acknowledgment of your receipt of such paper notices or disclosures. Further, you will no longer be able to use the DocuSign system to receive required notices and consents electronically from us or to sign electronically documents from us.

All notices and disclosures will be sent to you electronically

Unless you tell us otherwise in accordance with the procedures described herein, we will provide electronically to you through the DocuSign system all required notices, disclosures, authorizations, acknowledgements, and other documents that are required to be provided or made available to you during the course of our relationship with you. To reduce the chance of you inadvertently not receiving any notice or disclosure, we prefer to provide all of the required notices and disclosures to you by the same method and to the same address that you have given us. Thus, you can receive all the disclosures and notices electronically or in paper format through the paper mail delivery system. If you do not agree with this process, please let us know as described below. Please also see the paragraph immediately above that describes the consequences of your electing not to receive delivery of the notices and disclosures electronically from us.

How to contact King County-Department of 02:

You may contact us to let us know of your changes as to how we may contact you electronically, to request paper copies of certain information from us, and to withdraw your prior consent to receive notices and disclosures electronically as follows:

To contact us by email send messages to: cipriano.dacanay@kingcounty.gov

To advise King County-Department of 02 of your new email address

To let us know of a change in your email address where we should send notices and disclosures electronically to you, you must send an email message to us at cipriano.dacanay@kingcounty.gov and in the body of such request you must state: your previous email address, your new email address. We do not require any other information from you to change your email address.

If you created a DocuSign account, you may update it with your new email address through your account preferences.

To request paper copies from King County-Department of 02

To request delivery from us of paper copies of the notices and disclosures previously provided by us to you electronically, you must send us an email to cipriano.dacanay@kingcounty.gov and in the body of such request you must state your email address, full name, mailing address, and telephone number. We will bill you for any fees at that time, if any.

To withdraw your consent with King County-Department of 02

To inform us that you no longer wish to receive future notices and disclosures in electronic format you may:

- i. decline to sign a document from within your signing session, and on the subsequent page, select the check-box indicating you wish to withdraw your consent, or you may;
- ii. send us an email to cipriano.dacanay@kingcounty.gov and in the body of such request you must state your email, full name, mailing address, and telephone number. We do not need any other information from you to withdraw consent.. The consequences of your withdrawing consent for online documents will be that transactions may take a longer time to process..

Required hardware and software

The minimum system requirements for using the DocuSign system may change over time. The current system requirements are found here: <https://support.docusign.com/guides/signer-guide-signing-system-requirements>.

Acknowledging your access and consent to receive and sign documents electronically

To confirm to us that you can access this information electronically, which will be similar to other electronic notices and disclosures that we will provide to you, please confirm that you have read this ERSD, and (i) that you are able to print on paper or electronically save this ERSD for your future reference and access; or (ii) that you are able to email this ERSD to an email address where you will be able to print on paper or save it for your future reference and access. Further, if you consent to receiving notices and disclosures exclusively in electronic format as described herein, then select the check-box next to ‘I agree to use electronic records and signatures’ before clicking ‘CONTINUE’ within the DocuSign system.

By selecting the check-box next to ‘I agree to use electronic records and signatures’, you confirm that:

- You can access and read this Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure; and
- You can print on paper this Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure, or save or send this Electronic Record and Disclosure to a location where you can print it, for future reference and access; and
- Until or unless you notify King County-Department of 02 as described above, you consent to receive exclusively through electronic means all notices, disclosures, authorizations, acknowledgements, and other documents that are required to be provided or made available to you by King County-Department of 02 during the course of your relationship with King County-Department of 02.